energy transfer to O<sub>2</sub> from a donor triplet, is unlikely to be involved in photosensitivity associated with pheomelanin.

As previously discussed, subsequent reactions of O<sub>2</sub>-, may lead to severe skin damage. Furthermore, pulse radiolysis showed that PM and BTH react at the diffusion-controlled rate with the solvated electron, a conclusion confirmed by the laser flash photolysis experiments where the apparent yield of  $e_{aq}^{-}$  increased with decreasing PM and BTH concentrations. Although we were unable to detect them, degradation products resulting from such reactions may also play a role in photosensitivity.

In conclusion, our results indicate that BTH is a good model compound for PM in that both compounds photoionize and do not readily form triplets. Nevertheles, more complete photophysical data on PM could be obtained by working with the protein-free chromophore. Further work is also required to characterize the PM and BT radicals produced after photoionization.

Acknowledgment. M.R.C. thanks the National Institutes of Health (Grants AG 01756 and AG 01757) for partial support of this work and Dale Sharp for preparation of the BTH. This work was also supported by grants from the Cancer Research Campaign and the Medical Research Council. D.T. acknowledges an SRC Studentship.

## Miles R. Chedekel\*

The Johns Hopkins University School of Hygiene and Public Health Department of Environmental Health Sciences Division of Environmental Chemistry c/o U.S.P.H.S. Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

## Edward J. Land

Paterson Laboratories, Christie Hospital & Holt Radium Manchester, M20 9BX, England

#### Roy S. Sinclair, David Tait, T. George Truscott

Department of Chemistry, Paisley College of Technology Paisley, PA1 2BE, Scotland Received May 19, 1980

# **Preequilibrium Carbon Protonation in the** Acid-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of a Phenylketene Dithioacetal

Sir:

Carbon protonation is usually a slow, rate-determining step in the acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of vinyl ethers,<sup>1</sup> vinyl sulfides,<sup>2</sup> and ketene acetals.<sup>3</sup> However, the protonation step could well be a rapid equilibrium, depending on the electronic structure of unsaturated substrates. To our knowledge, such cases have never been encountered<sup>4-6</sup> except for one unusual case.<sup>7</sup>

This communication presents direct evidence that in the acidcatalyzed hydrolysis of 1,1-bis(methylthio)-2-phenylethene (1)<sup>8</sup>

(3) Gold, V.; Waterman, D. C. A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, 839-855. Kankaanperä, A.; Tuominen, H. Suom. Kemistil. B 1967, 40, 271-276. Kankaanpara, A.; Aaltonen, R. Ibid. 1970, 43, 183-188. Hershfield, R.; Schmir, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 1263-1270. Hershfield, R.; Yeager, M. J.; Schmir, G. L. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2940–2946. (4) Kresge, A. J.; Chen, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2818–2822.

(7) Cooper, J. D.; Vitullo, V. P.; Whalen, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 6294-6296.





the initial carbon protonation is rather a rapid reversible process which precedes a rate-determining collapsing of the carbonium

0002-7863/80/1502-6590\$01.00/0 © 1980 American Chemical Society

<sup>(1)</sup> Salomaa, P.; Kankaanperä, A.; Lajunen, M. Acta Chem. Scand. 1966, 20, 1790-1801. Kresge, A. J.; Chiang, Y. J. Chem. Soc. B 1967, 53-61. Okuyama, T.; Fueno, T.; Nakatsuji, H.; Furukawa, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5826-5831.

<sup>(2)</sup> Okuyama, T.; Nakada, M.; Fueno, T. Tetrahedron 1976, 32, 2249-2252. Okuyama, T.; Masago, M.; Nakada, M.; Fueno, T. Ibid. 1977, 33, 2379-2381.

 <sup>(5)</sup> Loudon, G. M.; Berke, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 4508-4517.
 (6) Kresge, A. J.; Chwang, W. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100,

<sup>1249-1253.</sup> 

<sup>(8)</sup> Prepared from an ortho thioester by the method of Arens;9 bp 144-145

 <sup>°</sup>C (5 mmHg).
 (9) Rinzema, L. C.; Stoffelsma, J.; Arens, J. F. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1959, 78, 354-363.

ion intermediate thus formed (eq 1 and 2).

$$C_{6}H_{5}CH = C(SCH_{3})_{2} + H_{3}O^{+} \xrightarrow{k_{1}}{k_{-1}} C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}C(SCH_{3})_{2} + I H_{2}O (1)$$

$$2 + 2H_2O \xrightarrow{k_2} C_6H_5CH_2COSCH_3 + CH_3SH + H_3O^+$$
(2)

Figure 1 shows <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral changes during the reaction of 1 in 90% CD<sub>3</sub>CN/D<sub>2</sub>O containing about 2 mM DCl at 35 °C.<sup>10</sup> Spectrum A was recorded before the addition of enough acid, the olefinic hydrogen resonance of 1 appearing at 6.69 ppm in the integral intensity of 1 H. In 100 min (spectrum B), the signal at 6.69 ppm disappeared almost completely, while the CH<sub>3</sub>S signals at 2.32 and 2.39 ppm remained in about 80% of the original intensity. The signals for the products (arrows) showed up correspondingly in intensity equivalent to ca. 20% conversion. Spectrum C (20 h) shows the formation of methyl phenylthioacetate (3) (CH<sub>3</sub>S resonance at 2.23 ppm) and methyl orthophenylthioacetate (4) (CH<sub>3</sub>S resonance at 2.08 ppm) in the ratio of 5:1. The methylene resonances of these products cannot be perceived; the one for 3 is only barely discernible at 3.84 ppm (arrow). The  $CH_3S$  resonances of 1 are still observable (eq 3).

These observations evidently indicate that the carbon protonation (eq 1) is reversible and that the decay of the intermediate 2 (eq 2) is rate determining  $(k_{-1} > k_2)$ . The rate of the H–D exchange estimated from the disappearance of the olefinic hydrogen was about 10 times greater than that of the hydrolysis. The product 4 must have arisen from the nucleophilic trapping of 2 by  $CH_3SH$  liberated on the formation of 3 (eq 4).

$$2 + CH_3SH \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2C(SCH_3)_3 + H_2O \qquad (4)$$

Kinetic measurements for the hydrolysis were made spectrophotometrically at 295 nm with a Shimadzu UV 200 spectrophotometer. The reactions were carried out at 30 °C in aqueous acetonitrile (10 vol %), the ionic strength being maintained at 0.45 with added KCl. The reaction was found to be catalyzed by general acids (ClCH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, HCO<sub>2</sub>H) as well as H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> ( $k_{H_1O^+}$ = 0.126 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The hydration of 2 (eq 2) must be catalyzed by a general base.

The reaction was greatly accelerated by the addition of a thiol, 2-hydroxyethanethiol. In 0.05 M aqueous HCl containing a constant 10 vol % of organic components (CH<sub>3</sub>CN + HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH), the rate was linearly increased with thiol concentrations,  $k_{obsd}$  (s<sup>-1</sup>) = 4.68 × 10<sup>-3</sup> + 3.84 × 10<sup>-3</sup>. [HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH]. The control experiments with *p*-methoxyphenyl vinyl ether, which is hydrolyzed through the rate-determining protonation,<sup>11</sup> showed that the rate of its hydrolysis was not affected by the addition of up to 0.5 M thiol. The acceleration observed further substantiates that the nucleophilic trapping of the intermediate 2 is rate determining. The relative nucleophilicity  $(HOCH_2CH_2SH/H_2O)$  of about 40 deduced here is reasonable as compared with that estimated from the reaction with a stable carbonium ion.12

Pseudo-first-order plots for the reaction of 1 in 10%  $CH_3CN/D_2O$  (0.05 M DCl) curved markedly from an initial slope (within 1 min) of 2.26  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to an ultimate slope of 3.15  $\times$  $10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. This is undoubtedly due to the initial H–D exchange at the 2 position of 1 and to the greater hydrolysis rate of the

deuterated substrate  $1-d_1$  as compared to the undeuterated 1. In fact, the reaction of the isolated 2-deuterio substrate  $1-d_1^{13}$  under the same conditions gave excellent linear plots with a slope (3.16  $\times 10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) close to the approximate ultimate slope. The hydrolysis of 1-d<sub>1</sub> in 10% CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (0.05 M HCl) was slightly decelerated at a later stage of reaction. The linear plots obtained within a first half-life gave  $k_{obsd} = 6.46 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is 1.38 times greater than that for 1 obtained in 10% CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O and 2.04 times that for  $1-d_1$  in 10% CH<sub>3</sub>CN/D<sub>2</sub>O.

That is, the rate constants obtained above give the secondary isotope effect  $k_{\rm D}/k_{\rm H} = 1.38$  and the solvent isotope effect  $k_{\rm H_2O}/k_{\rm D_2O} = 2.04$ . The former is close to the typical value estimated for the hybridization change  $(sp^2 to sp^3)$  of the carbon carrying the isotope.<sup>14</sup> Thus, it is compatible with the mechanism involving equilibrium formation of the intermediate 2. The normal solvent isotope effects observed agree with the apparent general acid catalysis. The rate-determining step must involve proton transfer from a nucleophilic water molecule to a general base.

All the evidence presented above points to the mechanism involving the preequilibrium carbon protonation (eq 1 and 2). Further studies on the details of the kinetics are in progress.

Acknowledgment. We thank H. Okuda for recording NMR spectra and A. Oshio for technical assistance.

Tadashi Okuyama,\* Takayuki Fueno

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering Science Osaka University, Tovonaka, Osaka 560, Japan Received May 19, 1980

### Structure Elucidation with Lanthanide-Induced Shifts. 8. Geometry of Europium–Ketone Complexes<sup>1</sup>

Sir:

Since the initial discovery that  $tris(\beta$ -diketonate) complexes of europium(III) can cause substantial chemical shift changes in the NMR spectra of organic compounds,<sup>2</sup> considerable effort has been expended in attempts to understand and utilize these lanthanide-induced shifts (LIS).<sup>3</sup> We have previously demonstrated that lanthanide-induced shifts can be used for rigorous structure evaluation of nitriles.<sup>1,4,5</sup> For that class of compounds, interpretation of experimental data is greatly simplified by the expectation that the resulting lanthanide complex will have a nearly linear carbon-nitrogen-lanthanide array.<sup>4-7</sup> We next turned our attention to functional groups for which this simplifying assumption could not be made. In the case of the carbonyl group, the LIS were expected to result from the time-average shifts of two discrete complexes corresponding to coordination at each of the lone pairs of electrons on oxygen (i.e., C-O-Eu bond angle

0002-7863/80/1502-6591\$01.00/0 © 1980 American Chemical Society

<sup>(10)</sup> The reaction was carried out in an NMR sample tube on a JNM-MH-100 spectrometer.

 <sup>(11)</sup> Fueno, T.; Matsumura, I.; Okuyama, T.; Furukawa, J. Bull. Chem.
 Soc. Jpn. 1968, 41, 818-823.
 (12) Ritchie, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1170-1179. Ritchie, C.
 D.; Gandler, J. Ibid. 1979, 101, 7318-7323.

<sup>(13)</sup> Obtained by the reaction of 1 in acidic CH<sub>3</sub>CN/D<sub>2</sub>O solution, isotopic

 <sup>(13)</sup> Obtained by the reaction of an indext of the original by both the purity being ca. 94% by NMR spectroscopy.
 (14) Streitwieser, A., Jr.; Jagow, R. H.; Fahey, R. C.; Suzuki, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 2326–2332. Ritchie, C. D. "Physical Organic Chemistry"; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1975; pp 223–224.

<sup>(1)</sup> Part 7: D. J. Raber, C. M. Janks, M. D. Johnston, Jr., and N. K.

<sup>(1)</sup> Part J. D. J. Raber, C. M. Janks, M. D. Jonnston, Jr., and N. K. Raber, Org. Magn. Reson., in press.
(2) (a) C. C. Hinckley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 5160 (1969); (b) J. K. M. Sanders and D. H. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. D, 422 (1970).
(3) For reviews, see: (a) O. Hofer, Top. Stereochem., 9, 111-197 (1976); (b) M. R. Willcott, III and R. E. Davis, Science (Washington, D.C.), 190, 850 (1975); (c) A. F. Cockerill, G. L. O. Davies, R. C. Harden, and D. M. Rackham, Chem. Rev., 73, 553 (1973); (d) B. C. Mayo, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2, 49 (1973); (e) J. Reuben, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 9, 1 (1973). (1973)

<sup>(4)</sup> M. D. Johnston, Jr., D. J. Raber, N. K. DeGennaro, A. D'Angelo, and J. W. Perry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 6042 (1976). (5) (a) D. J. Raber, M. D. Johnston, Jr., J. W. Perry, and G. F. Jackson,

<sup>III, J. Org. Chem., 43, 229 (1978); (b) D. J. Raber, M. D. Johnston, Jr., and
M. A. Schwalke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 7671 (1977).
(6) R. E. Davis, M. R. Willcott, III, R. E. Lenkinski, W. v. E. Doering,
and L. Birladeanu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 6846 (1973).
(7) The lignerity of coordination is in feat only corporation. Each detailed</sup> 

<sup>(7)</sup> The linearity of coordination is in fact only approximate. For a detailed discussion, see ref 1.